AL-FARABI KAZAKH NATIONAL UNIVERSITY Faculty of Philology Department of Turkology and Language Theory

PROGRAM OF FINAL EXAMINATION IN THE DISCIPLINE

Code: PRO 2211 «Practical course on Speech communication»

Educational programme "Foreign Language: Two Foreign Languages"

Bachelor degree programme

Course - 2Semester - 3
Number of credits - 5

Almaty 2024

1. THE THEMATIC PROGRAM OF THE DISCIPLINE

The purpose of the discipline "Practical Course on Speech Communication" is to develop students' spoken communication skills in English.

Learning outcomes in the discipline:

LO 1 (cognitive) - more detailed description and explanation of phenomena, self-experience, assessment;

LO 2 (functionsl) - comment on the events;

LO 3 (functional) - to explain, justify position, point of view, public speeches, etc.

LO 4 (systematic) - the free use of language for international communication, including emotion, using idiomatic expressions.

LO 5 (systematic) - to use a wide range of vocabulary and grammar in both written and oral communication

Main topics studied in the discipline.

Module 1.

Unit 1

A. Everyday life

Reading Life in a day

Strategy Scanning for specific information

Vocabulary insight Adjectives + prepositions: feelings

Vocabulary insight Compound nouns: everyday objects

Unit 1

B. Around the world

Grammar Present simple and present continuous

Listening Volunteer projects

Unit 2

A. Ghost towns

Reading Ghost towns: then and now

Strategy Identifying paraphrase

Vocabulary insight Antonyms: describing places

Vocabulary Places in town

Unit 2

B. The kindness of strangers

Grammar Past simple and past continuous

Listening A happy ending

SIW (students' individual work)

Vocabulary and grammar test for Units 1 and 2 $\,$

Unit 3

A. In my fridge

Reading Food for thought: the good, the bad and the ugly

Vocabulary Life cycle of food

Vocabulary insight Compound nouns and adjectives

Unit 3

B. Burger or broccoli?

Grammar Determiners: a lot of, a little, a few, some, any,

much and many

Listening Eating habits in the UK

Unit 4

A. Moving house

Reading The 1940s house

Vocabulary insight Adverbs of manner and comment

Vocabulary insight Compound adjective

Unit 4

B. A room of my own

Grammar Comparative and superlative adjectives (not) as... as, too,

enough

Listening The best room in the house

Unit 5

A. Taking risks

Reading Blame your brain

Strategy Understanding pronoun referencing

Vocabulary insight Adjective suffixes: -ing and -ed Vocabulary insight Noun suffixes: -merit and -ion

Unit 5

B. Too young, too old?

Grammar Present perfect and past simple

Present perfect with already, just and yet

Listening At the top of the world

SIW (students' individual work)

Presentation on the topics that were assigned to the students Unit 5
C. Fears and phobias
Listening The fear factor
Vocabulary insight Base and strong adjectives
Everyday English Describing a photo
Unit 5
D. Antarctic adventure

List of recommended sources.

Main literature:

Literature: main, additional.

1. Insight: Pre- Intermediate (Student's book)

Authors: Jayne Wildman, Fiona Beddall

2. Cambridge English: Face2Face Pre-Intermediate (Student's Book)

Authors: Chris Redston, Gillie Cunningham

3. Oxford: English File Pre-Intermediate (Third Edition)

Authors: Christina Latham-Koenig, Clive Oxenden

4. Longman: Cutting Edge Pre-Intermediate (Third Edition)

Authors: Sarah Cunningham, Peter MoorResearch infrastructure

Internet resources

- 1. ManyThings.org American English Pronunciation Practice
- 2. BBC Learning English (https://www.bbc.co.uk/learningenglish)

Reading Eyewitness account: Scott's Hut
Vocabulary insight Phrasal verbs: stages in a journey
Grammar Present perfect with for and since
Unit 6
A. A hard lesson
Reading War zones
Vocabulary insight Collocations: crime
Vocabulary insight Negative prefixes: un-, im-, ir- and il-

Scanned with ACE Scanner

2. METHODOLOGICAL INSTRUCTION FOR FINAL EXAMINATION: STANDARD WRITTEN EXAMINATION (OFFLINE)

- 2.1. Exam format: Standard written examination (offline).
- 2.2. The purpose of the written exam in the discipline "Foreign Language" is to assess students' ability to communicate effectively in the target language, demonstrating proficiency in spoken fluency, accuracy, and comprehension within relevant contexts.

2.3. Expected results of the exam tasks:

One written exam card contains 3 questions that identify learning outcomes for the course studied and are assessed according to the criteria described below:

- Question 1 Criterion 1. Knowledge of the theory and concept of the course; logic of presentation. Criterion 2. Understanding and confirmation with examples of the theoretical principles presented in the course content.
- Question 2 Criterion 3. Application of the selected methodology and technology to written practical tasks. Criterion 4. Disclosure and solution of the main problem given in the practical task.
- Question 3 Criterion 5. Evaluation and written critical analysis of the applicability of the chosen methodology to the proposed practical task. Criterion 6. Justification of the result obtained from one's own practice.

2.4. The examination procedure.

- 2.4.1. The standard written offline exam is conducted in accordance with the approved schedule.
- 2.4.2. 15 minutes before the start of the offline written exam, the teacher on duty checks the students' identities using their ID cards, and seats the students in the seats indicated on the attendance sheets.
- 2.4.3. In the event that a substitute person appears at the offline written exam, the teacher on duty draws up a corresponding report of violation of these Rules.
 - 2.4.4. Late students will not be allowed to take the exam.
 - 2.4.5. During the exam, the teacher on duty monitors students' compliance with the rules of conduct in accordance with the approved instructions.
 - 2.4.6. At the end of the time allotted for the exam (2 astronomical hours), the teacher on duty:
 - 1) collects examination papers;
 - 2) puts in each work a sign of the end of writing the work in the answer sheets the letter X;
 - 3) provides answer sheets along with attendance sheets for encryption to a specialist from the dean's office.
- 2.4.7. In case of delay in providing work for encryption to a specialist from the dean's office, a corresponding act is drawn up with subsequent prosecution of the perpetrators.
- 2.4.8. During the exam, students are prohibited from carrying and/or using cheat sheets, cell phones, smart watches and other technical and other means that can be used for unauthorized access to auxiliary information. It is prohibited to talk with other students and strangers, or to write down your full name and/or other identifying information in your answers.
 - 2.4.9. If a student appears for the exam and refuses to answer the ticket, passing the exam will be graded as an "F."
 - 2.4.10. If there is no good reason, failure to appear for the exam will be assessed as an "F".
- 2.4.11. If a student violates one or more of these points, an Act of cancellation of the examination work (hereinafter referred to as the Act) is filled out, and a grade of "F" ("unsatisfactory") is assigned for the discipline.
 - 2.4.12. For repeated violation of these Rules during the exam, the student is presented for consideration by the Faculty Council on Ethics.

2.4.13. The final grade for the discipline can be canceled within 1 month after the exam, if a student is found to have violated the instructions for conducting final control using distance learning technologies and/or rules of behavior during the exam: using cheat sheets, cell phones, negotiating, etc. based on recordings from surveillance cameras with filling out the Report. The act cannot be annulled or appealed.

2.4.14. All violations during exams are recorded in the student's transcript.

3. EVALUATION POLICY

RUBRICTOR FOR CRITERIAL ASSESSMENT OF FINAL EXAMINATION

Discipline: _ Practical course on Speech communication. Form: Standard written examination (offline).

Nº	Score	DESCRIPTORS					
		«Excellent»	«Good»	«Satisfactory»	«Unsatisfactory»		
	Criterion	90-100 %	70-89 %	50-69 %	25-49%	0-24 %	
Question 1	Criterion 1. Knowledge of the theory and concept of the course; logic of presentation.	for an answer that contains an exhaustive explanation of the question, a detailed argumentation for each conclusion and statement, is constructed logically and consistently, and is supported by examples from the developed classroom topics.	answer that contains a complete but not exhaustive coverage of the issue, an abbreviated argumentation of the main points, and allows for a violation of the logic and sequence of presentation of the material. The answer contains stylistic errors and inaccurate has of terms.	given for an answer that contains incomplete coverage of the questions proposed in the ticket, superficially argues the main points, and allows compositional imbalances in the presentation, violations of the logic and sequence of presentation of the material.	given for incorrect coverage of the questions posed, erroneous argumentation, factual and verbal errors, and for the assumption of an incorrect conclusion.		
	confirmation with examples of the	A comprehensive answer with illustrated examples was	The answer is not fully supported by specific examples. There are some inaccuracies.		Key concepts for the training course contained in questions are interpreted with significant errors.	examples to support the main	
Question 2	of the selected methodology and technology to practical	Excellent completion of the training assignment, a detailed, reasoned written answer to the question posed, followed by solving practical	Partial completion of the educational assignment, incomplete, sometimes reasoned answer to the question posed with an incomplete solution to the practical problems of the course; illiterate use of scientific language norms in the course.	semantic inaccuracies are made, and theoretical knowledge of the course is	An irrational method of solving a task or an insufficiently thought-out answer plan; inability to solve problems, perform tasks in general; making mistakes and omissions that exceeds the norm.	Inability to apply knowledge and algorithms to solve tasks; inability to draw conclusions and generalizations. Violation of the Rules for final control.	
	Criterion 4.	Scientific concepts are freely applied to the task at hand, followed by a logical and	The student's knowledge is adapted; the answers are weak structured, the answer contains	There is no meaningfulness of the material provided, there is no understanding of		The student did not fully understand the material. Violation of the Rules for	

			minor factual errors, which he	interdisciplinary connections.	content of the exam or does	final control.
	task.	the main problem.	can correct independently,		not give the correct answers.	
			thanks to a leading question.			
Question 3	Criterion 5.	Consistent, logical and	3-4 inaccuracies in the use of	There are conclusions on the	The task was completed with	The task has not been
Quee	Evaluation and written	correct justification of	conceptual material, minor	applicability of substantiated	gross mistakes, the answers	completed, there are no
1	critical analysis of the	scientific principles and the		scientific provisions are vague	to the questions were	answers to the questions
	applicability of the	applied methodology and	conclusions are allowed, which	and unconvincing; there are	incomplete, the conceptual	posed, materials and analysis
	chosen methodology to	technology, literacy,	do not affect the good overall	stylistic and grammatical	material and argumentation	tools have not been used.
	the proposed practical	compliance with the norms	level of task completion.	errors, as well as inaccuracies	were poorly used.	
	task.	of scientific language, 1-2		in processing the results of a		
		inaccuracies in the		practical decision.		
1		presentation of the material				
		are allowed, which do not				
	,	affect the generally correct				
		conclusions.				
	Criterion 6.	The answer is illustrated with	Analysis of 3-4 provisions of	Poor application of the main	Demonstration of difficulty	Lack of ability to apply
		examples and visuals.	existing theories, scientific	volume of material in	in providing answers to	course methods when giving
	result obtained from materials, including from the		schools and directions with	accordance with the training	problematic questions.	examples. Violation of the
	one's own practice.	student's own practice.	justification of the result	program with difficulties in		Rules for final control.
	_			independently reproducing it		
1			practice on the issue of the exam	in writing		
	1		card with some inaccuracies.			

Formula for calculating the final grade: Final grade (FG) = (%1+%2+%3+%4+%5+%6) / K, where % is the level of task completion by criterion, K is the total number of criteria.

Example of calculating the final grade

№	Score	«Excellent»	«Excellent» «Good» «Sat		-	«Unsatisfactory»	
		90-100 %	70-89%	50-69%	25-49%	0-24%	
	Criterion	•					
1.	Criterion 1	100					
2.	Criterion 2		75				
3.	Criterion 3			60			
4.	Criterion 4				45		
5.	Criterion 5	100					
6.	Criterion 6				49		
	Final %	200	75	60	94	200+75+60+94=429	
						429 / 6 criteria = 71,5	
						Final score, as $\% = 72$	

	Based on percentage obtained during the earethatten, we can compare the sector with the rating scale.
	72 points range from 70 points to 89 points, which corresponds to the "Good" category according to the grading scale.
	Thus, with this calculation, the project will be rated 72 points "Good" in accordance with the point-rating letter system for assessing educational achievements
	students with their transfer to the traditional grading scale and ECTS.
	CMI COLO
	Dean B.U. Dzholdasbekova
/	S PANOUOI MA (48)
/	Chair of the Academic
	Committee on the Quality
	of Teaching and Learning S.D. Seidenova
	on rounding and a second secon
	Head of Department R.A. Avakova
	Total of 2 special services and the services are services as a service service services are services as a service service service services are services as a service service service services are services as a service service service service services are services as a service service service service services are services as a service service service service services are services as a service service service service service services are services as a service service service service service service services are services as a service servic
	D. Navelongogykygy

Based on percentage obtained during the calculation, we can compare the score with the rating scale.